## Numerical Simulations of Magnetic Reconnection in Solar Wind Collisional vs Collisionless Regimes

## **Grzegorz Kowal**

Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul Universidade de São Paulo São Paulo, Brazil

In collaboration with Diego Falceta-Gonçalves Alex Lazarian Ethan Vishniac





Magnetic Fields in the Universe V – Cargèse, France, Oct. 6<sup>th</sup>, 2015

### **Solar Wind Characteristics**

## From Bruno & Carbone (2013):

| Length                               | Slow wind           | Fast wind           |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Debye                                | $\sim 4 \text{ m}$  | $\sim 15~{\rm m}$   |
| proton gyroradius                    | $\sim$ 130 km       | $\sim 260~{\rm km}$ |
| electron gyroradius                  | $\sim 2~{\rm km}$   | $\sim 1.3~{\rm km}$ |
| distance between 2 proton collisions | $\sim 1.2~{\rm AU}$ | $\sim 40~{\rm AU}$  |

# $R_L < \lambda_{mfp}$ - collisionless plasma

| Speed   | Slow wind   | Fast wind   |              |
|---|---|---|--------------|
| Alfvén<br>ion sound<br>proton thermal<br>electron thermal | $\begin{array}{l} \sim \ 30 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 60 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 35 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 3000 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \sim \ 60 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 60 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 70 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \\ \sim \ 2000 \ {\rm km \ s^{-1}} \end{array}$ | β <b>~</b> 1 |

#### Fluid Description of Collisionless Plasma

Chew-Goldberger-Law (1956) – one fluid hydromagnetic equations in the absence of particle collisions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) &= 0 \qquad \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times \left( \vec{u} \times \vec{B} \right) = 0 \\ \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \left( p_{\perp} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left[ (1 - \alpha) \frac{\vec{B} \vec{B}}{\mu_0} \right] = 0 \\ \alpha &= \left( p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp} \right) \mu_0 / B^2 \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{p_{\parallel} B^{\gamma_{\parallel} - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma_{\parallel}}} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{p_{\perp}}{\rho B^{\gamma_{\perp} - 1}} \right) = 0$ 

Pressure component evolution

#### Solar Wind Temperature Anisotropies

Observations of Temperature Anisotropy by Bale et al. (2009)



Fitting of the instability limits for protons done by Hellinger et al (2006)



| Instability                  | а     | b    | $\beta_0$ |
|------------------------------|-------|------|-----------|
| Proton cyclotron instability | 0.43  | 0.42 | -0.0004   |
| Mirror instability           | 0.77  | 0.76 | -0.016    |
| Parallel fire hose           | -0.47 | 0.53 | 0.59      |
| Oblique fire hose            | -1.4  | 1.0  | -0.11     |

#### **Turbulence in Collisionless Plasma**

#### Kowal, Falceta-Gonçalves & Lazarian (2011)



Turbulence statistics affected by kinetic instabilities

#### Sweet-Parker model (1957)



$$V_{inflow} = \eta / \lambda$$
  
Ohmic diffusion

$$V_{inflow} L = V_{outflow} \lambda$$

V

Mass conservation

$$V_{outflow} = V_A$$
  
Free outflow

**Reconnection Rate:** 

$$V_{inflow} = V_A \left(\frac{\lambda}{L}\right) = V_A \left(\frac{L V_A}{\eta}\right)^{-1/2} = V_A S_L^{-1/2}$$

Lundquist Number

PROBLEM: S<sub>L</sub> very large for astrophysical objects! Solar Corona S<sub>1</sub> ~ $10^{12}$ - $10^{14}$ , ISM S<sub>1</sub> ~ $10^{15}$ - $10^{20}$ 

#### **Reconnection with Turbulence (LV99)**



#### **Dependence on Resistivity**



#### **Numerical Setup**

- initial Harris current sheet setup

$$B_x(x, y) = B_0 \tanh(y/h), B_y(x, y) = 0$$

- B<sub>0</sub>=1, B<sub>z</sub>=0.1
- initially firehose unstable sound speeds  $a_{\parallel} = 1.5$ ,  $a_{\perp} = 1.0$
- initial random velocity perturbation with amplitude < 0.1
- periodic box



#### **Current Density**



#### **Magnetic Line Deformation**



#### **Global Reconnection Rate using Q-factor**





Pariat & Démoulin (2012): Squashing degree, Q - the field-line invariant quantifying the deformation of elementary flux tubes.

> Collisional:  $\overline{Q} = 5 \cdot 10^4$ Collisionless:  $\overline{Q} = 10^6$

Kowal et al. (in prep.)

### Conclusions

- In the collisionless regime the presence of pressure anisotropy allows for the development of instabilities, such as firehose
- In the current sheet we expect the drop of magnetic field strength, allowing for the firehose instability condition  $\beta_{\parallel}$ - $\beta_{\perp}$ >2 to be fulfilled
- The instability directly affects the topology of magnetic field creating helical loop-like deformations in the current sheet, which effectively increase the reconnection rate, as we have shown using the Q-factor