Magnetic fields in spiral galaxies

Marita Krause Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Bonn

Magnetic field structure: CHANG-ES EVLA survey on 35 galaxies M51 (Sui Ann Mao et al. 2015)

Radio scale heights in edge-on galaxies

Continuum HAlos in Nearby Galaxies – an EVLA Survey → CHANG-ES **35** galaxies **1.5 GHz, 6 GHz** in B,C,D-array 405 hours observing time **Probing CRs and**

magnetic fields at the interface between galaxies and the IGM

The consortium (31 members at present, 8 PhDs)

Judith Irwin, Queen's University (PI), Kingston, Canada Rainer Beck, Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Bonn Robert Benjamin, University of Wisconsin Ancor Damas, Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Ralf-Jürgen Dettmar, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Jayanne English, University of Manitoba, Canada George Heald, Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy Richard Henriksen, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada Megan Johnson, CSIRO, Epping, Australia Amanda Kepley, National Radio Astronomy Observatory Marita Krause, Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Jiang-Tao Li, University of Massachusetts Zhiyuan Li, Nanjing University (NJU), China Arpad Miskolczy, Ruhr-Universität Bochum Silvia Carolina Mora, Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Bonn **Eric Murphy**, Spitzer Science Center, Calteh, Pasadena **Tom Oosterloo**, Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy Elena Orlando, Stanford University Troy Porter, Stanford University, Palo Alto Richard Rand, University of New Mexico **D. J. Saikia**, National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, Pune, India Philip Schmidt, Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Bonn Carlos Sotomayor, Ruhr-Universität Bochum Yelena Stein, Ruhr-Universität Bochum Andrew Strong, Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garchng Carlos Vargas, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces PhD Rene Walterbos, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces Daniel Wang, University of Massachusetts, Amherst Marek Wezgowiec, Ruhr-Universität Bochum Theresa Wiegert, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada Yang Yang, Nanjing University (NJU), China

Galaxies & selection criteria

from Nearby Galaxies Catalog:

- inclination > 75 °
- $4 \le d$ _blue isophotal ≤ 15 arcmin
- δ > -25 °
- 1.4 GHz fluxes > 20 mJy
- plus N4244, N4565, N5775

→ 35 galaxies in total, mixture of AGN, LINERs, SFR, interacting

5 papers published: Irwin et al. 2012, 2013, 2015 Wiegert et al. 2015



Logo by J. English

Magnetic fields in spiral galaxies

M51



Scetch of toroidal disk field and halo field



NGC5775 i = 86°



Soida, Krause, Dettmar, Urbanik 2011

Fletcher et al. 2011

Face-on galaxies show a spiral magnetic field along the disk → disk-parallel field in edge-on galaxies

Large-scale field strength in the halo comparable to disk field strength

A dynamo generated large-scale magnetic field in the disk



courtesy to R. Beck

→ASS disk-field

Large-scale RM-pattern indicates an ASS disk-field. Its poloidal component alone cannot explain the observed halo fields.

 \rightarrow dynamo action in the halo

or

galactic wind needed

 ↔ observations & simulations





CHANG-ES

EVLA C-band D-array 20" HPBW TP: 6cm Effelsberg + EVLA

Effelsberg 3.6cm





PhD Philip Schmidt, Bonn

M. Krause 2009

NGC 660 SBa i=77° 12.3Mpc SFR=2.7M_o/yr polar ring galaxy, LINER

C-band D-array,



Disk-field: planeparallel

Halo-field: X-shaped

NGC 3556 SBc i=82° 14 Mpc SFR=2.2 M_☉/yr

N3556[PI] @ 6.00 GHz # N3556_Darray_C_U_rob0_UVtap.sm34.2.klein.fits



C-band D-array 17.3" HPBW

NGC 3044 SBc i=85° 20 Mpc SFR=1.0 M_o/yr



In L-band not Faraday thin \rightarrow only layer in front side visible



NGC 4157 SABb i=83° 16 Mpc SFR= 1.3 M_o/yr



Asymmetric depolarization along disk

Are halo magnetic fields regular or coherent?



Both give PI, only regular field yield RM

courtesy R. Beck

NGC4631 RM(6-3cm) 85"



Mora & Krause 2013



Soida, Krause et al. 2011

No clear large-scale RM-pattern deteted up to now, however: | RM | does not generally decrease with z

indication of regular field

What can we learn from face-on galaxies about the halo magnetic field?

M51

- Different azimuthal large-scale field structures in disk and halo (Berkhuijsen, Horellou, Krause et al. 1997)
- ASS field in disk, BSS field in halo (Fletcher at al. 2011)



Disk is not transparent at L-band (20cm) in polarization



 L-band EVLA observations analyzed with RM synthesis: Wide-band polarimetry can trace the magneticed medium in the halo of M51 (Ann Mao et al. 2015)

EVLA L-band observations of M51 (Ann Mao et al. 2015)

- 1-2 GHz ;11"x9" resolution
- ~ 400 MHz usable bandwidth
- Q(λ²) and U(λ²) image cubes
 → RM Synthesis → Q(Φ) and U(Φ)
 → Extract peak Φ and PI
- |RM|~ few 10s rad m⁻²
 - behavior different from a strong large-scale disk field
 - << |RM_{3+6cm}|
 - mid-plane likely depolarized at L band
 - Emission at L band from top of synchrotron disk



Figure 7. Faraday depth distribution of M51 at L band derived from RM synthesis. The color scale is in units of rad m⁻².

Predicted BSS halo field of Fletcher et al. 2011 can be reconciled with the new observations by adding an **additional vertical coherent halo field** (RM = -9 rad/m²)

What can we learn about the magnetic field scales?

Rotation Measure SF using New L band Data

- <u>Amplitude</u>: $SF_{RM} \rightarrow \sigma_{RM} \sim 13 \text{ rad } m^{-2}$
 - << $\sigma_{RM,3+6cm} \sim 50~rad~m^{-2}$
 - L band data do not probe through the turbulent disk

<u>Shape</u>: reproduced by the sum of

- uniform halo B field component from Fletcher et al. 2011
- Kolmogorov slab with r_{out}~1 kpc
- $r_{out,halo} \sim 1$ kpc, comparable to
 - size of superbubbles
 - typical size of Parker Loops





Parker 1992

Pixel-by-pixel maximum likelyhood fitting of $Q(\lambda^2)$ and $U(\lambda^2)$ to various depolarization models:

The Nature of the Faraday Rotating Medium

- All sightlines well fitted by FR in an <u>external</u> screen
 - 84% uniform screen (no depol)
 - 16% turbulent screen
- Pol. emission from top of the sync. disk, then FR in the near-side halo



h_{thermal ne}> h_{synchrotron 20cm} ~ 1.2 kpc



Vertical radio scale heights of CHANG-ES sample

- **35** galaxies in total in C-band, D-array
- **26** galaxies ≤ **5**[•] (**23** galaxies ≤ **4**[•], without N2613, N3432, N3079)
- 8 galaxies refused because i ≤ 80° (after tests for all galaxies with nod3) (N2992, N3448, N4388, N4096, N4438, N4666, N5297, N5792
- 4 galaxies omitted because of nuclear activity, strong interaction, etc. (N660, N2992 (part of Arp 245), N4845, N5084)

→ radio scale heights of 15 galaxies determined

Boxintegration for the scale height determination



Averaged CHANG-ES values of 15 galaxies: Mean 250 ± 140 pc 1.2 ± 0.4 kpc

Previous observations:

	Vertical scale heights at 6.2cm		SFR(IR) SFE		B _t	i	type
	thin disk	thick disk/halo	M _ợ /yr]	[L _☆ /M _☆]	[µG]	[°]	
NGC253	380 ± 60 pc	1.7 ± 0.1 kpc	6.3	14	12	78	Sc
NGC891	270	1.8	3.3	5.0	6	88	Sb
NGC362	8 300	1.8	1.1	4.9	6	89	Sb pec
NGC456	5 280	1.7	1.3	3.2	7	86	Sb
NGC577	5 240 ± 30 pc	2.0 ± 0.2 kpc	7.3	6.1	8	86	Sbc

Mean 300 ± 50 pc 1.8 ± 0.2 kpc

→ bias towards nearby and large objects with larger scale heights?

First analysis of 15 galaxies < 5'

No obvious effects of missing spacings visible

radio scale height - SFR

B_total - radio scale height



Equipartition model of Radio-FIR correlation (Niklas & Beck 1997): total magnetic field strength B_t ~ SFR ^{≈0.34}

First analysis of 15 galaxies

radio scale height - diameter

SFR – diameter



Next step: determination of radial scalelengths instead of ,diameter'

Conclusions

EVLA results of CHANG-ES galaxies and M51 lead to a consistant picture of B in spiral galaxies:

- Sample for edge-on galaxies now significantly extended
- Parallel disk field, X-shaped halo, also vertical field in M51
- Asymmetric depolarization in one half of the galaxy (also observed in face-ons (Braun, Heald, Beck 2010))
- Mean value of scale heights is lower than before, with larger range of values: 1.2 ± 0.4 kpc instead of 1.8 ± 0.2 kpc (bias towards larger objects)
- For the first time seen a trend, that radio scale heights increase with SFR_B_total, and their diameters.



Cyan: C-band C-array total intensity Darker cyan: combined all-array, all frequency total intensity Orange: WISE 12 µm Yellow: Spitzer 3.6 µm Rose: Hα Blue: SDSS r-band Purple: SDSS g-band Spatial resolutions vary and have been chosen for visual effects

Thank you for your attention